

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

DO D 1460
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450
www.ucnto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/539,518	06/17/2005	Grant Berent Jacobsen	01435.0212	2973		
22852 7	590 05/15/2006		EXAM	INER		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			LEE, RIP A			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				TALER NOMBER		
WASHINGIO	in, DC 20001-4413		1713			
			DATE MAILED: 05/15/2000	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1 2 10 (1)			
Office Action Summary		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)			
		10	)/539,518	JACOBSEN ET A	NL.		
		Ex	aminer	Art Unit			
			A. Lee	1713			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears	on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence a	ddress		
A SHOWHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum sere to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. tatutory period will ap y will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUNICAT In no event, however, may a reply to oly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS the application to become ABAND	TION. De timely filed  from the mailing date of this of ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on .					
•	·	2b)⊠ This acti	on is non-final.				
·	Since this application is in condition	for allowance	except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the	e merits is		
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3 and 9-15 is/are rejected	d.					
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>4-8</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or ele	ction requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
10) 🔲	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) ☐ accepte	d or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the draw	ing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is	s required if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exami	ner. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>						
	2. Certified copies of the priority			cation No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies				l Stage		
	application from the Internation				- Ciago		
* S	see the attached detailed Office action		* **	eived.			
			·				
Attachmen	t(s)	•					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sumn				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o		Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform	nil Date nal Patent Application (PT	O-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06-17-2005</u> . 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/539,518

Art Unit: 1713

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 1713

4. Claims 1, 3, and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McDaniel et al. (U.S. 6,107,230).

McDaniel *et al.* discloses a supported catalyst system comprising a transition metal complex, a non-aluminxane activator, and treated support. Examples 11 and 13 show use of alumina treated with either H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> or CuSO<sub>4</sub> for ethylene polymerization. The non-aluminoxane activator is triethylaluminum.

5. Claims 2 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McDaniel et al. (U.S. 6,107,230).

The discussion of the disclosures of the prior art from the previous paragraph of this office action is incorporated here by reference. The examples in McDaniel *et al.* do not show use of sulfated silica, however one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to use silica instead of alumina and thereby arrive at the subject matter of the instant claims because the patent teaches that silica is also used as the support material for catalysts of the invention (col. 12, lines 23-34 discloses preparation of silica). Additionally, there is no showing of use of the cited catalysts for copolymerization of ethylene with alpha olefins in the gas phase, however, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to arrive at such a process from the general disclosure of McDaniel *et al.* because the patent discloses use of catalyst for this purpose (col. 11, lines 17-20), and use of gas phase conditions is disclosed in col. 11, line 9. Since McDaniel *et al.* contemplates such a process, one of ordinary skill in the art would have expected the process to work.

6. Claims 1, 3, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McDaniel et al. (U.S. 6,300,271).

McDaniel *et al.* discloses a supported catalyst system comprising a transition metal complex, a non-aluminxane activator, and treated support. Examples 11-15 show use of alumina treated with either H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> or (NH4)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> for ethylene polymerization. The non-aluminoxane activator is triethylaluminum.

Art Unit: 1713

7. Claims 2 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McDaniel et al.

The discussion of the disclosures of the prior art from the previous paragraph of this office action is incorporated here by reference. The examples in McDaniel *et al.* do not show use of sulfated silica, however one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to use silica instead of alumina and thereby arrive at the subject matter of the instant claims because the patent teaches that silica is also used as the support material for catalysts of the invention (col. 7, line 60 –col. 8, line 6 discloses preparation of silica). Additionally, there is no showing of use of the cited catalysts for copolymerization of ethylene with alpha olefins in the gas phase, however, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to arrive at such a process from the general disclosure of McDaniel *et al.* because the patent discloses use of catalyst for this purpose (col. 6, lines 54-59), and use of gas phase conditions is disclosed in col. 6, line 46. Since McDaniel *et al.* contemplates such a process, one of ordinary skill in the art would have expected the process to work.

8. Claims 4-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. None of the cited references discloses use of transition metal complexes having the structures set forth in the instant claims, and neither reference teaches or suggests use of activators disclosed in the instant claims. McDaniel *et al.* (U.S. 6,300,271) expressly avoids use of ionic (borate) activators in their invention.

Art Unit: 1713

#### Prior Art

9. Commentary on "X" references cited in the international search report. EP 1 097 949 (U.S. 6,632,911) and EP 1 241 188 (U.S. 6,677,411) disclose catalysts comprising layered silicate supports that may be treated with sulfate compounds. The instant claims as presented are not anticipated by these references since layered silicate supports do not qualify as inorganic metal oxide, in organic metal halide, polymeric support. WO 02/66524 (U.S. 6,734,266) discloses catalysts comprising sulfated metal oxide support and aluminoxane as the activator. The patent does not teach the instant invention because the instant claims require a non-aluminoxane activator. U.S. 2002/0007023 is the pre-grant publication corresponding to U.S. 6,831,141, which is a divisional of U.S. 6,300,271. The latter has been used in lieu of the cited pre-grant publication.

The prior art made of record but not relied upon is considered pertinent to the Applicant's disclosure. The following references have been cited to show the state of the art with respect to catalyst comprising sulfated metal oxide supports. These catalysts do not incorporate an additional non-aluminoxane activator component.

Baba et al. (U.S. 5,036,035)

Fujie et al. (U.S. 5,883,036)

Kuhlmann et al. (U.S. 5,182,247)

Dorawala et al. (U.S. 4,139,491)

Art Unit: 1713

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rip A. Lee whose telephone number is (571)272-1104. The examiner can be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached at (571)272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on the access to the

Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

ral

May 10, 2006

DAVID W. WU
TRVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
LUMBOLOGY CENTER 1700